IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 1492 OF 2002

Smt. Veena Kumari A. Khosla & Ors. ...Petitioners.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr ...Respondents

.....

Mr. Pandey i/b M/s. Pandey & Co. for petitioners.
Ms. Asha Gole i/b J.J. Saxena for respondent No.2.
Shri. A.S. Shitole, A.P.P. for State.

.....

CORAM: SMT. V.K. TAHILRAMANI, J.

DATED: 14TH DECEMBER, 2004

P.C.:-

1. Heard the counsel for the petitioners, the learned advocate for respondent No.2 i.e. original complainant and the learned A.P.P.for the State. The petitioners and original complainant is present in person. I have also heard them.

2. The respondent No.2 original complainant Vivek Subhash Talwar has filed complaint No.94/S/98 before the Metropolitan Magistrate, 30th Court, Kurla, Mumbai against the petitioners under Section 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act. It is stated

that the dispute between the parties is now amicably settled and parties have filed consent terms duly signed by them before this Court which is marked as "X" for identification. From the consent terms, it is clear that the dispute is amicably settled and the complainant does not wish to pursue his complaint any further. In this view of the matter, the complaint bearing No.94/S/98 pending before the Metropolitan Magistrate, 30th Court, Kurla, Mumbai and the proceeding relating thereto are quashed. Rule is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).

3. An authenticated copy of this order be supplied to the parties.